



Palestinian National Authority  
Palestinian Water Authority



السلطة الوطنية الفلسطينية  
سلطة المياه الفلسطينية



# Steady State Flow Model of the Western Aquifer Basin

Sustainable Management of the West Bank and Gaza Aquifers

UNIVERSITY OF  
NEWCASTLE




NERC British  
Geological Survey



Department for  
International  
Development

**DFID**



Final Report  
SUSMAQ - MOD # 23 V0.2

Prepared by:  
SUSMAQ TEAM

Palestinian Water Authority, Palestine  
Water Resource Systems Research Laboratory  
University of Newcastle upon Tyne, UK

July 2003

<p><b>Disclaimer</b></p> <p>This report is an output from the Flow Modelling and Hydrogeology Component, part of the SUSMAQ project.</p> <p>The findings, interpretations and conclusions expressed are those of the authors (the team) and should not be attributed to other collaborators on the SUSMAQ project.</p> <p>The project does not guarantee the accuracy of the data included in this publication. Boundaries, colours, denominations and other information shown in maps, figures, tables and the text does not imply any judgment on legal status of territory or the endorsement of boundaries. The typescript of this report has not been prepared in accordance with procedures appropriate to formal printed texts, and the partners and funding agency accept no responsibility for errors.</p>	<p><b>Contact Details</b></p> <p>Professor Enda O’Connell Project Director University of Newcastle upon Tyne Tel: 0191 222 6405 Fax: 0191 222 6669 Email: P.E.O’Connell@ncl.ac.uk</p> <p>Engineer Fadle Kawash Deputy Chairman Palestinian Water Authority Ramallah, Palestine Tel:02 295 9022 Fax 02 2981341 Email: fkawash@pwa-pna.org</p> <p>Dr. Amjad Aliewi Operations and Technical Manager Team Leader, Hydrogeology and Flow Modelling Sunrise Building Al-Irsal Road Al-Bireh/Ramallah, Palestine Tel. 02 298 89 40 Fax. 02 298 89 41 e-mail: a.s.aliewi@susmaq.org</p>
<p><b>The SUSMAQ Project</b></p> <p>The aim of the project is to increase understanding of the sustainable yield of the West Bank and Gaza aquifers under a range of future economic, demographic and land use scenarios, and evaluate alternative groundwater management options. The project is interdisciplinary, bringing together hydrogeologists and groundwater modellers with economists and policy experts. In this way, hydrogeological understanding can inform, and be informed by, insights from the social sciences. The results of the study will provide support to decision-making at all levels in relation to the sustainable yield of the West Bank and Gaza aquifers.</p> <p>The project runs from November 1999 to October 2004, and is a partnership between the Palestinian Water Authority, University of Newcastle and the British Geological Survey. The project is funded by the United Kingdom’s Department for International Development (DFID).</p>	<p><b>The Flow Modelling and Hydrogeology Component</b> is part of the SUSMAQ project</p> <p>The development of a steady state flow model for WAB will help approximate the actual physical situation of the aquifer system; provide an understanding and analysis of the complex ground water system of WAB; assess the groundwater flow characteristics of WAB and interpret the spatial distribution of the related parameters. After all, the development of the steady state model provides information about the water budget of the basin as a whole. This budget will provide details about the water balance between the aquifer units as well and between the basin as its boundaries.</p>
<p><b>Bibliographical Reference</b></p> <p>Steady State Flow Model of the Western Aquifer Basin. Report No.: SUSMAQ-MOD #23 V0.2. Sustainable Management for the West Bank and Gaza Aquifers, Palestinian Water Authority (Palestine) and University of Newcastle upon Tyne (UK).</p> <p><b>Study Team and Authors:</b> Dr. Amjad Aliewi – Team Leader; Eng. Muath Abu Saada- Hydroinformatics and Modelling Specialist; Hydrogeologist Abbas Kalbouneh- Hydrogeology Researcher; Hydrogeologist . Clemens Messerschmid, Research and Coordination Advisor.</p> <p><b>Contributors:</b> Eng. Khalil Saleh, Hydrogeo. Omar Zaid, Eng. Deeb Abdul Ghafour.</p>	<p><b>Feedback</b></p> <p>The SUSMAQ and PWA teams will appreciate any feedback on this report. Feedback should be sent to the above contacts.</p>

## **Table of Contents**

1. Introduction and Background
  - 1.1 Scope and objectives
  - 1.2 Area
  - 1.3 Climate
  - 1.4 Geography
  - 1.5 Geology
  - 1.6 Water Use
  - 1.7 Previous Studies
2. Updated and corrected conceptual model
  - 2.1 Aims and Principles of the Conceptual Model
  - 2.2 Model Boundaries
    - 2.2.1 General
    - 2.2.2 Boundaries by Regions
    - 2.2.3 Summary on Boundaries
  - 2.3 Western Aquifer Basin Geometry
    - 2.3.1 General
    - 2.3.2 Hydrostratigraphy and Aquifer Units
    - 2.3.3 Aquifer Unit Thicknesses
  - 2.4 Connections between Aquifers
  - 2.5 Hydrological stresses
    - 2.5.1 Recharge estimation
    - 2.5.2 Well abstractions
    - 2.5.3 Springs discharge
  - 2.6 The flow system of WAB
    - 2.6.1 Water Levels
    - 2.6.2 Groundwater flow patterns
  - 2.7 Aquifer hydraulic and physical properties
    - 2.7.1 The confinement line and storage coefficients
    - 2.7.2 Hydraulic conductivity and transmissivity
    - 2.7.3 Aquifer porosity
3. Steady State Model Set-up
  - 3.1 Introduction and objective
  - 3.2 Selection of a simulation period
  - 3.3 Conceptual approach (coverages)
  - 3.4 Model Grid
  - 3.5 3D representation
  - 3.6 Assigning recharge, discharge and k-values to model cells
4. Calibration
  - 4.1 Introduction
  - 4.2 Before Utilisation
  - 4.3 After Utilisation
5. Simulation Results
  - 5.1 Water levels for UA and LA
  - 5.2 Flow patterns for UA and LA

6. Water Budget
  - 6.1 Inflows (recharge)
  - 6.2 Outflows
  - 6.3 Water Budget for UA "Before Utilization"
  - 6.4 Water Budget for Yatta Formation "Before Utilization"
  - 6.5 Water Budget for LA "Before Utilization"
  - 6.6 Water Budget for WAB "Before Utilization"
  - 6.7 Water Budget for UA "1993-1998"
  - 6.8 Water Budget for Yatta Formation "1993-1998"
  - 6.9 Water Budget for LA "1993-1998"
  - 6.10 Water Budget for WAB "1993-1998"
7. Conclusions
8. Bibliography

Appendix A : Wells in WAB

### **List of Figures**

- Figure 1.1: Location map of the Western Aquifer
- Figure 1.2: Ground elevations in the WAB
- Figure 1.3: Geographic regions of the Western Aquifer Basins. (The thin dotted line delineates the geographical borders of the WAB.)
- Figure 2.1: Boundaries of the WAB
- Figure 2.2: Schematic presentation of different groundwater boundary types
- Figure 2.3: Hydraulic barrier formed by the Hebron anticline
- Figure 2.3: Area where Yakhini and Talme Yafe unite to one bottom aquitard (128/124)
- Figure 2.4: Structural Contour Map of Top Judea
- Figure 2.5: Structural Contour Map of Top Telamim
- Figure 2.6: Water levels at selected locations in WAB
- Figure 2.7: Hydrogeological Map of the WAB
- Figure 2.8: Dalia Marl Location in the Western Aquifer Basin
- Figure 2.9: Section of an area with reduced UA-thickness due to Dalia Marl
- Figure 2.10: Reduced lateral size of Upper Aquifer in the WAB
- Figure 2.11: Top Judea Surface Model: Taninim (Timsa7) springs (blue), Menashe wells (red) and Binyamina fault between them – stretching W-E<sup>1</sup>
- Figure 2.12: Zones of assumed hydraulic connections between LA & UA, due to high throwing faults
- Figure 2.13: Spatial distribution of recharge rates in WAB
- Figure 2.14: Abstraction wells in WAB
- Figure 2.15: Volumes of extracted waters from UA and LA of WAB
- Figure 2.16: Location of well fields in the LA of WAB
- Figure 2.17: 1993 Water levels of WAB, compiled from Israeli
- Figure 2.18: Location of confinement line and known water levels in WAB based on field data for the period 1990's

- Figure 3.1: Well abstractions and water levels of WAB btween 1992 and 1999
- Figure 3.2: Schematic representation of the grid and layers for WAB Model (not to scale)
- Figure 3.3: Boundaries of WAB and active cells for UA
- Figure 3.4: Boundaries of WAB and active cells for Yatta Formation
- Figure 3.5: Boundaries of WAB and active cells for LA
- Figure 3.6: 3D representation of the Modelled WAB
- Figure 4.1: Distribution of observed water levels in WAB for the period "before utilization" (After Guttman and Zukerman,1995)
- Figure 4.2: Simulated water levels by Guttman and Zukerman (1995) for the period "before utilization"
- Figure 4.3: Simulated water levels by SUSMAQ (2003) for the period "before utilization"
- Figure 4.4: Comparison between rhe results about water levels of WAB by Guttman and Zukerman (1995) and SUMAQ against observed water levels of period "before utilization"
- Figure 4.5: calibrated horizontal conductivity for Upper Aquifer
- Figure 4.6: Calibrated horizontal Conduitivity for Lower Aquifer
- Figure 4.7: Calibrated vertical conductivity for Upper Aquifer
- Figure 4.8: Calibrated vertical conductivity for Lower Aquifer Yatta Formation
- Figure 4.9: Calibrated vertical conductivity for Lower Aquifer
- Figure 4.10: Location of Target wells and calibration results for the period, 1993-1998
- Figure 5.1: Simulated water levels for Upper Aquifer for the simulation period, "before utilization"
- Figure 5.2: Simulated water levels for Lower Aquifer for the simulation period, "before utilization"
- Figure 5.3: Simulated water levels for the Upper Aquifer for the simulation period, "1993-1998"
- Figure 5.4: Simulated water levels for the Lower Aquifer for the simulation period, "1993-1998"
- Figure 5.5: Flow directions for Upper Aquifer for the simulation period, "before utilization"
- Figure 5.6: Flow directions for Lower Aquifer for the simulation period, "before utilization"
- Figure 5.7: Flow directions for Upper Aquifer for the simulation period, "1993-1998"
- Figure 5.8: Flow directions for Lower Aquifer for the simulation period, "1993-1998"

## **List of Tables**

Table 1.1: Size of the Western Basin and model areas

Table 1.2: Regions and range of elevations (in m asl.)

Table 1.3: Outcrop area of aquifers and aquitards

Table 2.1 Chrono-, litho- and hydrostratigraphy of the Western Aquifer Basin (based on formation names in the mountains and western slopes area)

Tab. 2.2: Simplified correlation of Palestinian names and geophysical terms

Table 2.3: Stratigraphic Column of the Mountain Aquifer as used in SUSMAQ Model of WAB

Tab. 2.4: Thickness of formations

Tab 2.5: Thickness of hydrostratigraphic units

Table 2.6: WAB Recharge (Mcm/yr) from different components according to aquifer formations for 93-98 period

Table 2.7: WAB Data of abstraction, injection and spring discharge (Mcm/yr) for 1987-1994

Table 4.1: Location and results for target wells (1993-1998)

Table 5.1: Historical discharge of Yarkon (Ras El-Ein) and Taninim (Timsah) Springs

## 1. Introduction and Background

### 1.1 Scope and Objectives

After a number of discussions in the fourth multidisciplinary workshop of SUSMAQ (see project report, SUSMAQ-MAN#19 V0.1) and a specialised technical meeting on flow and recharge models (see project report, SUSMAQ-MAN#21 V0.1), it was realised that the conceptual model presented in the report SUSMAQ-MOD#06 V0.3 needed upgrading and updating since more data was available between the time the report was produced and now. This updated conceptual flow model is presented in this report. This report represents also the steady state model of the Western Aquifer Basin (WAB) which is the main subject of this project, "Sustainable Management of the West Bank and Gaza Aquifers".

This project started under conditions of an almost complete absence of information about the aquifer system of the Western Basin. It was only possible to construct a flow model of the WAB when the database was completed, although some more data are still being collected. The development of a steady state flow model for WAB will help approximate the actual physical situation of the aquifer system; provide an understanding and analysis of the complex groundwater system of WAB; assess the groundwater flow characteristics of WAB and interpret the spatial distribution of the related parameters. After all, the development of the steady state model provides an idea about the water budget of the basin as a whole. This budget will provide details about water balance between the aquifer units as well and between the basin and its boundaries.

It is the objective when the transient flow model of the WAB (this report is only about the steady state model) is completed and calibrated that the models will be used by decision makers as a tool for planning, design and management of the water resources of the WAB.

### Area

The Western Aquifer Basin is the largest of all groundwater basins in historical Palestine and a shared basin between Palestinians, Israelis and Egyptians (see Table 1.1, Figure 1.1). The model area is around 6000 km<sup>2</sup> large, with roughly 30% inside the West Bank.

**Table 1.1: Size of the Western Basin and model areas**

	<b>Total area</b>	<b>Model area</b>
West Bank	1720 km <sup>2</sup>	1720 km <sup>2</sup>
Israel	7438 km <sup>2</sup>	4315 km <sup>2</sup>
Sinai	4990 km <sup>2</sup>	-
<b>SUM</b>	<b>14148 km<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>6035 km<sup>2</sup></b>




Figure 1.1: Location map of the Western Aquifer Basin

Almost 5000 km<sup>2</sup> lie in the Egyptian side, however with both little recharge and outflows. The total abstractions in Northern Sinai sum up to 9 MCM/yr only (personal correspondence with the Egyptian Institute of Groundwater). This amount comprises of the Western Basin and other aquifers. However, the exact recharge and discharge within the political borders of Egypt is beyond the scope of the Sustainable Management of the West Bank and Gaza Aquifers, SUSMAQ, Project.

The basin extends around 235 km from Mount Carmel in the North to Northern Sinai in the South and between 70 and 30 km from the Mediterranean coast in the West to the heights of the West Bank in the East (Figure 1.1). In the following, if not stated otherwise, the term WAB will refer to the model area of the Western Aquifer Basin.

### 1.3 Climate

The climatic zones range from sub-humid Mediterranean climate conditions (more than 700 mm annual rainfall) to arid desert conditions in the Sinai. The ground elevation lies between sea level and 1000 m above sea level in the Hebron area.



## 1.4 Geography

The Western aquifer basin covers a wide range of different landscapes and topographic environments. It reaches from sea level at the Mediterranean coast in the west up to 983 m near Hebron.

Most of the area of the Western Aquifer Basin is located in Israel; the fourteen regions of the WAB are fully or partly covered by the western aquifer basin, see Figure 1.2. Three of these regions lie in the West Bank. Therefore, here the Palestinian names will be used.

Starting in the west, there are three regions along the Mediterranean coast:

- The Negev coastal plain
- The Southern coastal plain and
- The Central coastal plain (or in some texts: Northern coastal plain)

Adjacent to the east follow the 6 regions (from north to south):

- The Ramot - Menashe region
- The Um El-Fahm region
- The Nablus region
- The Northwestern West Bank
- The Shefela (or Hashephela) region in the central and southern foothills and
- The Negev western foothills

Three more regions partly reach beyond the eastern border of the basin:

- The Central and Southern West Bank, or Jerusalem and Hebron Mountains
- The Beer Sheva Valley and
- The Northern Negev

The whole area of the Western aquifer can be divided into three longitudinal strips of different elevations that match incompletely with the above- mentioned regions: The coastal plain area, the foothill and lower slopes area and the upper slopes and mountain area. The coastal plain has a width range of 15-20 km with elevations between 0-100 meters above sea level. The foothills area has a width range between 3 and 15 km (see also Figure 1.3).

The coastal plain lies at elevations between 0 and 100m asl., except for the Negev coastal plain that reaches up to 200m asl, see Figure 1.3 (Topography and Drainage system). The foothills rise from 100 m asl. to around 300 m asl., and are followed to the east by the upper hills and mountain regions that reach up the highest point of 983m asl. at the coordinates 158.3/110.55, near the spring Ein Ad-Deekah, 2 km west northwest of Halhul.





Figure 1.2: Ground elevations in the WAB



**Figure 1.3: Geographic regions of the Western aquifer basins.** (The thin dotted line delineates the geographical borders of the WAB.)

The different regions have been introduced and described in further detail in the Compiled Base Data Report of SUSMAQ.

The following Table No. 1.2 summarizes the regions with the range of elevations.

**Table 1.2: Regions and range of elevations (in m asl.)**

West		Middle		East	
Region	Elevation	Region	Elevation	Region	Elevation
Central Coastal Plain	0-100			Ramot Menashe	100-300
				Um El Fahm	100-<500 (492)
				Nablus	100-400 (510)
Southern Coastal Plain	0-100	Hashephela foothills	100-450	Northwestern West Bank	100-700 (800)
		Beer Sheva Valley	200-500	Jerusalem and Hebron Mountains	450-800 (>900)
Negev Coastal Plain	0-200	Negev Western Foothills	20-400	Northern Negev	300-600

## 1.5 Geology

The range of age of the outcropping formations reaches from Lower Cretaceous to Holocene. The Lower Cretaceous formations of the Kurnub group are exposed as Aptian shale (Tammun) at the anticlinal axes and as Kurnub sandstone in the Negev.

The aquifers of the Western Aquifer Basin (WAB) consist mainly of karstified limestone and dolomite of Upper Albian to Turonian. The two aquifers are separated by a Lower Cenomanian sequence of aquitard to aquiclude formations, known as Yatta formation in Palestinian terms and as Moza and Beit Meir formations in Israeli terms. Although Beit Meir contains portions of limestone and thus builds locally restricted perched aquifers, Yatta as a whole is simplified and modelled as one aquiclude on the regional level.

The Holocene and recent alluvial deposits are found in large areas in the coastal plain. The outcrops of the aquifers are distributed as follows (Table 1.3):

**Table 1.3: Outcrop area of aquifers and aquitards**

<b>Aquifer Formations</b>	<b>Outcrops</b>	<b>Aquitard Formations</b>	<b>Outcrops</b>
Upper Albian	292.4 km <sup>2</sup>	Lower Cenomanian	165.7 km <sup>2</sup>
Middle Cenomanian-Turonian	1366 km <sup>2</sup>	Senonian – Quaternary	4210.5 km <sup>2</sup>

## 1.6 Water Use

The water use is very heterogeneous, due to both natural and political reasons. While some areas are fully developed and even overexploited (coastal plain in central Israel), other areas rarely yield sufficient water in satisfying quality (Negev and Sinai). In the West Bank foothill region, Israel, through its occupation forces, imposes restrictions on the development of the important potential of the aquifer.

## 1.7 Previous Studies

There are a number of modelling studies about the Western Aquifer Basin carried out by the Israelis (Bachmat, 1995; Guttman and Zukerman, 1995; Zukerman, 1999), but no comprehensive model has been undertaken on the Palestinian side as yet. However, the Israeli studies lack the following:

- Some of them, as Bachmat 1995, are based on a coarse grid of 25 km<sup>2</sup> cell size and therefore do not reflect realistic averages of the aquifer hydraulic and physical properties.
- Recharge estimates were made on generic relationships between rainfall and outflows (wells and springs). However, Guttman & Zukerman 1995, calibrated the results of these equations.
- There is a great distrust in the geometry and hydraulic connection between aquifers developed in most of these studies. (This is the case for the 25km<sup>2</sup> grid size of Bachmat 1995 but also of the other models.)
- The assumption of considering the WAB to have only one aquifer unit, as in all previous models, is not very convincing.
- In addition to the above inaccuracies in most of the Israeli conceptual and numerical flow models, the SUSMAQ team was not able to have free access to all the details of the Israeli studies on the WAB.



Full report/document is not available online